

**DoubleLine Funds Trust
DoubleLine ETF Trust
DoubleLine Capital LP
DoubleLine Alternatives LP
DoubleLine Equity LP
DoubleLine ETF Adviser LP
DoubleLine Private Funds
DoubleLine Opportunistic Credit Fund
DoubleLine Income Solutions Fund
DoubleLine Yield Opportunities Fund
DoubleLine Shiller CAPE[®] Enhanced Income Fund**

Proxy Voting, Corporate Actions and Class Actions

I. Background

This Proxy Voting, Corporate Actions and Class Actions Policy (“Policy”) is adopted by DoubleLine Capital LP, DoubleLine Alternatives LP, DoubleLine Equity LP and DoubleLine ETF Adviser LP (each, as applicable, “DoubleLine”, the “Adviser” or the “Firm”), DoubleLine Funds Trust (the “Trust”) and each series of the Trusts (each an “Open-End Fund”), the DoubleLine ETF Trust (“DET”), the DoubleLine Opportunistic Credit Fund (“DBL”) the DoubleLine Income Solutions Fund (“DSL”), the DoubleLine Yield Opportunities Fund (“DLY”) and the DoubleLine Shiller CAPE[®] Enhanced Income Fund (“DUB” and, together with DET, DBL, DSL, DLY and all of the Open-End Funds collectively, the “Funds”) to govern the voting of proxies related to securities held by the Funds and actions taken with respect to corporate actions and class actions affecting such securities, and to provide a method of reporting the actions taken and overseeing compliance with regulatory requirements.

Each private investment fund (such as, but not limited to), the DoubleLine Opportunistic Income Master Fund LP (and its related entities), the DoubleLine Opportunistic CMBS/CRE Fund LP (and its related entities), and the DoubleLine Mortgage Opportunities Master Fund LP (and its related entities), each of which is a “Private Fund” and, collectively, the “Private Funds”) managed by DoubleLine also adopts this Policy.

DoubleLine generally will exercise voting, corporate actions and class actions authority on behalf of its separate account clients (“Separate Account Clients” and together with the Funds and Private Funds, the “Clients”) only where a Client has expressly delegated authority in writing to DoubleLine and DoubleLine has accepted that responsibility. Separate Account Clients that do not provide written authorization for DoubleLine to exercise voting authority are responsible for their own proxy voting, corporate actions and class actions and this Policy does not apply to them.

To the extent that voting a proxy or taking action with respect to a class action or corporate action (in each case, a “proposal”) is desirable, DoubleLine (or its designee) will seek to take action on such proposal in a manner that it believes is most likely to enhance the economic value of the underlying securities held in Client accounts and, with respect to proposals not otherwise covered by the Guidelines herein, DoubleLine (or its designee) will seek to consider each proposal on a case-by-case basis from the perspective of each affected Clients, taking into consideration any relevant contractual obligations as well as other relevant facts and circumstances at the time of the vote. In the event proxy voting requests are sent on shares no longer owned by Clients, DoubleLine may choose to not vote such shares. DoubleLine will not respond to proxy solicitor requests unless DoubleLine determines that it is in the best interest of a Client to do so.

II. Issue

Rule 206(4)-6 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the “Rule”), requires every investment adviser who exercises voting authority with respect to client securities to adopt and implement written policies and procedures reasonably designed to ensure that the adviser votes proxies in the best interest of its clients. The procedures must address material conflicts that may arise between DoubleLine and a Client in connection with proxy voting. The Rule further requires the adviser to provide a concise summary of the adviser’s proxy voting policies and procedures and to provide copies of the complete proxy voting policy and procedures to clients upon request. Lastly, the Rule requires that the adviser disclose to clients how they may obtain information on how the adviser voted their proxies. The Commission clarified an investment adviser’s proxy voting responsibilities in an August 2019 release (IA-5325).

III. Policy – Proxies and Corporate Actions; Role of Third-Party Proxy Agent

To assist DoubleLine in carrying out its proxy voting obligations, DoubleLine has retained a third-party proxy voting service provider, currently Glass, Lewis & Co. (“Glass Lewis”), as its proxy voting agent. Pursuant to an agreement with DoubleLine, Glass Lewis obtains proxy ballots with respect to securities held by one or more Client accounts advised by DoubleLine, evaluates the individual facts and circumstances relating to any proposal, and, except as otherwise provided below, votes on any such proposal in accordance with the Guidelines set forth in Attachment A hereto (the “Guidelines”).

In the event that a proposal is not adequately addressed by the Guidelines, Glass Lewis will make a recommendation to DoubleLine as to how to vote on such proposal. The portfolio manager or other authorized person of the relevant Client account will conduct a reasonable investigation of the proposal, including a review of the recommendation made by Glass Lewis, and will instruct Glass Lewis to vote the Client’s securities against Glass Lewis’ recommendation when DoubleLine believes doing so is in the best interests of the applicable Client. The portfolio manager or authorized person shall record the reasons for any such instruction and shall provide that written record to the Chief Compliance Officer or his/her designee. In the absence of a timely instruction from DoubleLine to the contrary, Glass Lewis will vote in accordance with its recommendation. In the event that Glass Lewis does not provide a recommendation with respect to a proposal, DoubleLine may vote on any such proposal in its discretion and in a manner consistent with this Policy after conducting a reasonable investigation of the proposal.

In the event that DoubleLine determines that a recommendation of Glass Lewis (or of any other third-party proxy voting service retained by DoubleLine) was based on a material factual error, DoubleLine will investigate the error, taking into account, among other things, the nature of the error and the related recommendation, and seek to determine whether Glass Lewis’ recommendation was affected by the error and whether Glass Lewis (or any other third-party proxy voting service retained by DoubleLine) is taking reasonable steps to reduce similar errors in the future. DoubleLine will also inform the Chief Compliance Officer of the error so that he can determine whether to conduct a more detailed review of Glass Lewis (or any other third-party proxy voting service retained by DoubleLine).

The Guidelines provide a basis for making decisions in the voting of proxies and taking action with respect to class actions or corporate actions for Clients. When voting proxies or taking action with respect to class actions or corporate actions, DoubleLine’s utmost concern in exercising its duties of loyalty and care is that all decisions be made on an informed basis and in the best interests of the Client and with the goal of maximizing the value of the Client’s investments. With this goal in mind, the Guidelines cover

various categories of voting decisions and generally specify whether DoubleLine (or its designee) will vote (assuming it votes at all) for or against a particular type of proposal. The applicable portfolio managers who are primarily responsible for evaluating the individual holdings of the relevant Client are responsible in the first instance for overseeing the voting of proxies and taking action with respect to corporate actions for such Client (though they are not expected to conduct an independent review of each such corporate action.). Such portfolio managers may, in their discretion, vote proxies or take action with respect to class actions or corporate actions in a manner that is inconsistent with the Guidelines (or instruct Glass Lewis to do so) when they determine, after conducting a reasonable investigation, that doing so is in the best interests of the Client. In making any such determination, the portfolio managers may, in their discretion, take into account the recommendations of appropriate members of DoubleLine's executive and senior management, other investment personnel and, if desired, an outside service.

Limitations of this Policy. This Policy applies to voting and/or consent rights of securities held by Clients. DoubleLine (or its designee) will, on behalf of each Client (including the Funds or the Private Funds) vote in circumstances such as, but not limited to, plans of reorganization, and waivers and consents under applicable indentures. This Policy does not apply, however, to consent rights that primarily represent decisions to buy or sell investments, such as tender or exchange offers, conversions, put options, redemption and Dutch auctions. Such decisions, while considered not to be covered within this Policy, shall be made with the Client's best interests in mind. In certain limited circumstances, particularly in the area of structured finance, DoubleLine may, on behalf of Clients, enter into voting agreements or other contractual obligations that govern the voting of shares. In the event of a conflict between any such contractual requirements and the Guidelines, DoubleLine (or its designee) will vote in accordance with its contractual obligations.

In addition, where DoubleLine determines that there are unusual costs to the Client? and/or difficulties associated with voting on a proposal, which more typically might be the case with respect to proposals relating to non-U.S. issuers, DoubleLine reserves the right to not vote on a proposal unless DoubleLine determines that the expected benefits of voting on such proposal exceed the expected cost to the Client, such as in situations where a jurisdiction imposes share blocking restrictions which may affect the ability of the portfolio managers to effect trades in the related security. When contacting a client is reasonable and not cost- or time-prohibitive, DoubleLine will seek to consult with its Clients in such circumstances (where it has determined not to vote as a result of unusual costs and/or difficulties) unless the investment management agreement or other written arrangement with the applicable Client gives DoubleLine authority to act in its own discretion.

Records of all proxies, class actions or corporate actions received shall be retained by the Chief Risk Officer or designee. Such records shall include whether DoubleLine voted such proxy or corporate actions and, if so, how the proxy was voted [and for class actions?]. The records also shall be transcribed into a format such that any Client's overall proxy and corporate actions voting record can be provided upon request.

DoubleLine provides no assurance to former clients that applicable proxy, class actions or corporate actions information will be delivered to them.

IV. Proofs of Claim

DoubleLine does not complete proofs-of-claim on behalf of Clients for current or historical holdings other than for the Funds and Private Funds; however, DoubleLine will provide reasonable assistance to Clients with collecting information relevant to filing proofs-of-claim when such information is in the possession of DoubleLine. DoubleLine does not undertake to complete or provide proofs-of-claim for securities that had been held by any former client. DoubleLine will complete proofs-of-claim for the Funds and Private

Funds, or provide reasonable access to the applicable Fund's or Private Fund's administrator to file such proofs-of-claim when appropriate.

V. Class Actions Policy

In the event that Client securities become the subject of a class action lawsuit, DoubleLine will assess the potential value to Clients in participating in such legal action and such other factors as it deems appropriate. If DoubleLine decides that participating in the class action is in the Client's best interest, DoubleLine will recommend that the Client or its custodian submit appropriate documentation on the Client's behalf, subject to contractual or other authority. DoubleLine may consider any relevant information in determining whether participation in a class action lawsuit is in a Client's best interest, including the costs that likely would be incurred by the Client and the resources that likely would be expended in participating in the class action, including in comparison to the Client pursuing other legal recourse against the issuer. DoubleLine also may choose to notify Clients (other than the Funds and the Private Funds) of the class action without making a recommendation as to participation, which would allow Clients to decide how or if to proceed.

DoubleLine provides no assurance to former clients that applicable class action information will be delivered to them.

VI. Procedures for Lent Securities and Issuers in Share-blocking Countries

At times, DoubleLine may not be able to take action in respect of a proposal on behalf of a Client when the Client's relevant securities are on loan in accordance with a securities lending program and/or are controlled by a securities lending agent or custodian acting independently of DoubleLine. Notwithstanding this fact, in the event that DoubleLine becomes aware of a proposal on which a Client's securities may be voted and with respect to which the outcome of such proposal could reasonably be expected to enhance the economic value of the Client's position and some or a portion of that position is lent out, DoubleLine will make reasonable efforts to inform the Client that DoubleLine will not be able to take action with respect to such proposal until and unless the lent security is recalled. When such situations relate to the Funds or the Private Funds, DoubleLine will take reasonable measures to recall the lent security in order to take action timely. There can be no assurance that any lent security will be returned timely.

In certain markets where share blocking occurs, shares must be frozen for trading purposes at the custodian or sub-custodian in order to vote. During the time that shares are blocked, any pending trades will not settle. Depending on the market, this period can last from one day to three weeks. Any sales that must be executed will settle late and potentially will be subject to interest charges or other punitive fees. For this reason, in blocking markets, DoubleLine retains the right to vote or not, based on the determination of DoubleLine's investment personnel as to whether voting would be in the Client's best interest under the circumstances.

VII. Proxy Voting Committee; Oversight

DoubleLine has established a proxy voting committee (the "Committee") with a primary responsibility of overseeing compliance with this Policy. The Committee, made up of non-investment executive officers, the Chief Risk Officer, and the Chief Compliance Officer (or his/her designee), meets on an as-needed basis. The Committee will (1) monitor compliance with the Policy, including by periodically sampling proxy votes for review, (2) review, no less frequently than annually, the adequacy of this Policy to ensure that such Policy has been effectively implemented and that the Policy, including the Guidelines, continues

to be designed to ensure that proxies are voted in the best interests of Clients, (3) periodically review, as needed, the adequacy and effectiveness of Glass Lewis or other third-party proxy voting services retained by DoubleLine, including its process for seeking timely input from issuers, whether such firm has the capacity and competency to adequately analyze voting matters, the processes and methodologies employed by such firm and instances where an issuer has challenged Glass Lewis or other third-party proxy voting service recommendations, and (4) review potential conflicts of interest that may arise under this Policy, including changes to the businesses of DoubleLine, Glass Lewis or other third-party proxy voting services retained by DoubleLine to determine whether those changes present new or additional conflicts of interest that should be addressed by this Policy.

The Committee shall have primary responsibility for managing DoubleLine's relationship with Glass Lewis and/or any other third-party proxy voting service provider, including overseeing their compliance with this Policy generally as well as reviewing periodically instances in which (i) DoubleLine overrides a recommendation made by Glass Lewis; (ii) Glass Lewis does not provide a recommendation with respect to a proposal, or (iii) instances when Glass Lewis commits one or more material errors. The Committee shall also periodically review DoubleLine's relationships with such entities more generally, including for potential conflicts of interest relevant to such entities and whether DoubleLine's relationships with such entities should continue.

VIII. Procedures for Material Conflicts of Interest

The portfolio managers will seek to monitor for conflicts of interest arising between DoubleLine and a Client with respect to proxy voting, class actions and corporate actions? and shall report any such conflict identified by the portfolio managers to the Committee. Should material conflicts of interest arise between DoubleLine and a Client as to a proposal, the proposal shall be brought to the attention of the Committee, who shall involve other executive managers, legal counsel (which may be DoubleLine's in-house counsel or outside counsel) or the Chief Compliance Officer as may be deemed necessary or appropriate by the Committee to attempt to resolve such conflicts. The Committee shall determine the materiality of such conflict if the conflict cannot be resolved. (An example of a specific conflict of interest that should be brought to the Committee is a situation where a proxy contest involves securities issued by a Client. When in doubt as to the existence or materiality of a potential conflict, portfolio managers shall bring the proposal to the attention of the Committee.)

If, after appropriate review, a material conflict between DoubleLine and a Client is deemed to exist, DoubleLine will seek to resolve any such conflict in the best interest of the Client whose assets it is voting by pursuing any one of the following courses of action: (i) voting (or not voting) in accordance with the Guidelines; (ii) convening a Committee meeting to assess available measures to address the conflict and implementing those measures; (iii) voting in accordance with the recommendation of an independent third-party service provider chosen by the Committee; (iv) voting (or not voting) in accordance with the instructions of such Client; (v) or not voting with respect to the proposal if consistent with DoubleLine's fiduciary obligations.

Investments in the DoubleLine Funds. In the event that DoubleLine has discretionary authority to vote shares of a Fund owned by all Clients (including the Funds), DoubleLine will vote the shares of such Fund in the same proportion as the votes of the other beneficial shareholders of such Fund. Under this "echo voting" approach, DoubleLine's voting of a Fund's shares would merely amplify the votes already received from such Fund's other shareholders. DoubleLine's potential conflict is therefore mitigated by replicating the voting preferences expressed by the Fund's other shareholders.

IX. Procedures for Proxy Solicitation

In the event that any employee of DoubleLine receives a request to reveal or disclose DoubleLine's voting intention on a specific proxy event to a third party, the employee must forward the solicitation request to the Chief Compliance Officer or designee. Such requests shall be reviewed with the Committee or appropriate executive and senior management. Any written requests shall be retained with the proxy files maintained by the Chief Operating Officer or designee.

X. Additional Procedures for the Funds

A. Filing Form N-PX

Rule 30b1-4 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 requires open-end and closed-end management investment companies to file an annual record of proxies voted by a Fund on Form N-PX. Form N-PX must be filed each year no later than August 31 and must contain the Funds' proxy voting record for the most recent twelve-month period ending June 30.

The Funds rely upon their respective fund administrator to prepare and make their filings on Form N-PX. DoubleLine shall assist the fund administrator by providing information (including by causing such information to be provided by any third party proxy voting service for record comparison purposes as deemed necessary) regarding any proxy votes made for the Funds within the most recent twelve-month period ending June 30. DoubleLine shall retain records of any such votes with sufficient information to make accurate annual Form N-PX filings.

B. Providing Policies and Procedures

Mutual funds (including the Funds) that invest in voting securities are required to describe in their Statements of Additional Information ("SAIs") the policies and procedures that they use to determine how to vote proxies relating to securities held in their portfolios. The Funds also may choose to include these policies and procedures as part of their registration statement. Closed-end funds (such as DBL, DSL, DLY and DUB) must disclose their proxy voting policies and procedures annually on Form N-CSR.

Funds are required to disclose in shareholder reports that a description of the fund's proxy voting policies and procedures is available (i) without charge, upon request, by calling a specified toll-free (or collect) telephone number; (ii) on the fund's website, if applicable; and (iii) on the Commission's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. The fund administrator shall ensure that such disclosures are included when preparing shareholder reports on the Funds' behalf. The Funds currently do not provide the proxy policies and procedures on their website.

A Fund is required to send the description of the fund's proxy voting policies and procedures within three business days of receipt of the request, by first-class mail or other means designed to ensure equally prompt delivery. The Funds rely upon the fund administrator to provide this service.

XI. Recordkeeping

- A. DoubleLine must maintain the documentation described in this Policy for a period of not less than five (5) years from the end of the fiscal year during which the last entry was made on such record, the first two (2) years at its principal place of business. DoubleLine will be responsible for the following procedures and for ensuring that the required documentation is retained, including with respect to class action claims or corporate actions other than proxy voting. DoubleLine has engaged Glass Lewis to retain the aforementioned proxy voting records on behalf of DoubleLine (and its Clients).

B. Client request to review proxy votes:

Any written request from a Client related to actions taken with respect to a proposal received by any employee of DoubleLine must be retained. Only written responses to oral requests need to be maintained.

The Client Service group will record the identity of the Client, the date of the request, and the disposition (e.g., provided a written or oral response to Client's request, referred to third party, not a proxy voting client, other dispositions, etc.).

In order to facilitate the management of proxy voting record keeping process, and to facilitate dissemination of such proxy voting records to Clients, the Client Service group will distribute to any Client requesting proxy voting information DoubleLine's complete proxy voting record for the Client for the period requested. If deemed operationally more efficient, DoubleLine may choose to release its entire proxy voting record for the requested period, with any information identifying a particular Client redacted. The Client Service group shall furnish the information requested, free of charge, to the Client within a reasonable time period (within 10 business days) and maintain a copy of the written record provided in response to Client's written (including e-mail) or oral request. A copy of the written response should be attached and maintained with the Client's written request, if applicable, and stored in an appropriate file.

Clients can require the delivery of the proxy voting record relevant to their accounts for the five year period prior to their request.

C. Examples of proxy voting records:

- Documents prepared or created by DoubleLine in connection with DoubleLine's reasonable investigation (or more detailed analysis) of a matter, or that were material to making a decision on how to vote, or that memorialized the basis for the decision. Documentation or notes or any communications received from third parties, other industry analysts, third party service providers, company's management discussions, etc. that were material in the basis for the decision.

XII. Disclosure

The Chief Compliance Officer or designee will ensure that Form ADV Part 2A is updated as necessary to reflect: (i) all material changes to this Policy; and (ii) regulatory requirements related to proxy voting disclosure.

Attachment A to Proxy Voting, Corporate Action and Class Action Policy

Guidelines

The proxy voting decisions set forth below refer to proposals by company management except for the categories of “Shareholder Proposals” and “Social Issue Proposals.” The voting decisions in these latter two categories refer to proposals by outside shareholders.

Governance

- For trustee nominees in uncontested elections
- For management nominees in contested elections
- For ratifying auditors, except against if the previous auditor was dismissed because of a disagreement with the company or if the fees for non-audit services exceed 51% of total fees
- For changing the company name
- For approving other business
- For adjourning the meeting
- For technical amendments to the charter and/or bylaws
- For approving financial statements

Capital Structure

- For increasing authorized common stock
- For decreasing authorized common stock
- For amending authorized common stock
- For the issuance of common stock, except against if the issued common stock has superior voting rights
- For approving the issuance or exercise of stock warrants
- For authorizing preferred stock, except against if the board has unlimited rights to set the terms and conditions of the shares
- For increasing authorized preferred stock, except against if the board has unlimited rights to set the terms and conditions of the shares
- For decreasing authorized preferred stock
- For canceling a class or series of preferred stock
- For amending preferred stock
- For issuing or converting preferred stock, except against if the shares have voting rights superior to those of other shareholders
- For eliminating preemptive rights
- For creating or restoring preemptive rights
- Against authorizing dual or multiple classes of common stock
- For eliminating authorized dual or multiple classes of common stock
- For amending authorized dual or multiple classes of common stock
- For increasing authorized shares of one or more classes of dual or multiple classes of common stock, except against if it will allow the company to issue additional shares with superior voting rights
- For a stock repurchase program
- For a stock split
- For a reverse stock split, except against if the company does not intend to proportionally reduce the number of authorized shares

Mergers and Restructuring

- For merging with or acquiring another company

- For recapitalization
- For restructuring the company
- For bankruptcy restructurings
- For liquidations
- For reincorporating in a different state
- For spinning off certain company operations or divisions
- For the sale of assets
- Against eliminating cumulative voting
- For adopting cumulative voting

Board of Trustees

- For limiting the liability of trustees
- For setting the board size
- For allowing the trustees to fill vacancies on the board without shareholder approval
- Against giving the board the authority to set the size of the board as needed without shareholder approval
- For a proposal regarding the removal of trustees, except against if the proposal limits the removal of trustees to cases where there is legal cause
- For non-technical amendments to the company's certificate of incorporation, except against if an amendment would have the effect of reducing shareholders' rights
- For non-technical amendments to the company's bylaws, except against if an amendment would have the effect of reducing shareholder's rights

Anti-Takeover Provisions

- Against a classified board
- Against amending a classified board
- For repealing a classified board
- Against ratifying or adopting a shareholder rights plan (poison pill)
- Against redeeming a shareholder rights plan (poison pill)
- Against eliminating shareholders' right to call a special meeting
- Against limiting shareholders' right to call a special meeting
- For restoring shareholders' right to call a special meeting
- Against eliminating shareholders' right to act by written consent
- Against limiting shareholders' right to act by written consent
- For restoring shareholders' right to act by written consent
- Against establishing a supermajority vote provision to approve a merger or other business combination
- For amending a supermajority vote provision to approve a merger or other business combination, except against if the amendment would increase the vote required to approve the transaction
- For eliminating a supermajority vote provision to approve a merger or other business combination
- Against adopting supermajority vote requirements (lock-ins) to change certain bylaw or charter provisions
- Against amending supermajority vote requirements (lock-ins) to change certain bylaw or charter provisions
- For eliminating supermajority vote requirements (lock-ins) to change certain bylaw or charter provisions
- Against expanding or clarifying the authority of the board of trustees to consider factors other than the interests of shareholders in assessing a takeover bid
- Against establishing a fair price provision
- Against amending a fair price provision
- For repealing a fair price provision

- For limiting the payment of greenmail
- Against adopting advance notice requirements
- For opting out of a state takeover statutory provision
- Against opt into a state takeover statutory provision

Compensation

- For adopting a stock incentive plan for employees, except decide on a case-by-case basis if the plan dilution is more than 5% of outstanding common stock or if the potential dilution from all company plans, including the one proposed, is more than 10% of outstanding common stock
- For amending a stock incentive plan for employees, except decide on a case-by-case basis if the minimum potential dilution from all company plans, including the one proposed, is more than 10% of outstanding common stock
- For adding shares to a stock incentive plan for employees, except decide on a case-by-case basis if the plan dilution is more than 5% of outstanding common stock or if the potential dilution from all company plans, including the one proposed, is more than 10% of outstanding common stock
- For limiting per-employee option awards
- For extending the term of a stock incentive plan for employees
- Case-by-case on assuming stock incentive plans
- For adopting a stock incentive plan for non-employee trustees, except decide on a case-by-case basis if the plan dilution is more than 5% of outstanding common equity or if the minimum potential dilution from all plans, including the one proposed, is more than 10% of outstanding common equity
- For amending a stock incentive plan for non-employee trustees, except decide on a case-by-case basis if the minimum potential dilution from all plans, including the one proposed, is more than 10% of outstanding common equity
- For adding shares to a stock incentive plan for non-employee trustees, except decide on a case-by-case basis if the plan dilution is more than 5% of outstanding common equity or if the minimum potential dilution from all plans, including the one proposed, is more than 10% of the outstanding common equity
- For adopting an employee stock purchase plan, except against if the proposed plan allows employees to purchase stock at prices of less than 85% of the stock's fair market value
- For amending an employee stock purchase plan, except against if the proposal allows employees to purchase stock at prices of less than 85% of the stock's fair market value
- For adding shares to an employee stock purchase plan, except against if the proposed plan allows employees to purchase stock at prices of less than 85% of the stock's fair market value
- For adopting a stock award plan, except decide on a case-by-case basis if the plan dilution is more than 5% of the outstanding common equity or if the minimum potential dilution from all plans, including the one proposed, is more than 10% of the outstanding common equity
- For amending a stock award plan, except against if the amendment shortens the vesting requirements or lessens the performance requirements
- For adding shares to a stock award plan, except decide on a case-by-case basis if the plan dilution is more than 5% of the outstanding common equity or if the minimum potential dilution from all plans, including the one proposed, is more than 10% of the outstanding common equity
- For adopting a stock award plan for non-employee trustees, except decide on a case-by-case basis if the plan dilution is more than 5% of the outstanding common equity or if the minimum potential dilution from all plans, including the one proposed, is more than 10% of the outstanding common equity
- For amending a stock award plan for non-employee trustees, except decide on a case-by-case basis if the minimum potential dilution from all plans is more than 10% of the outstanding common equity.
- For adding shares to a stock award plan for non-employee trustees, except decide on a case-by-case basis if the plan dilution is more than 5% of the outstanding common equity or if the minimum

potential dilution from all plans, including the one proposed, is more than 10% of the outstanding common equity

- For approving an annual bonus plan
- For adopting a savings plan
- For granting a one-time stock option or stock award, except decide on a case-by-case basis if the plan dilution is more than 5% of the outstanding common equity
- For adopting a deferred compensation plan
- For approving a long-term bonus plan
- For approving an employment agreement or contract
- For amending a deferred compensation plan
- For amending an annual bonus plan
- For reapproving a stock option plan or bonus plan for purposes of OBRA
- For amending a long-term bonus plan

Shareholder Proposals

- For requiring shareholder ratification of auditors
- Against requiring the auditors to attend the annual meeting
- Against limiting consulting by auditors
- Against requiring the rotation of auditors
- Against restoring preemptive rights
- For asking the company to study sales, spin-offs, or other strategic alternatives
- For asking the board to adopt confidential voting and independent tabulation of the proxy ballots
- Against asking the company to refrain from counting abstentions and broker non-votes in vote tabulations
- Against eliminating the company's discretion to vote unmarked proxy ballots.
- For providing equal access to the proxy materials for shareholders
- Against requiring a majority vote to elect trustees
- Against requiring the improvement of annual meeting reports
- Against changing the annual meeting location
- Against changing the annual meeting date
- Against asking the board to include more women and minorities as trustees.
- Against seeking to increase board independence
- Against limiting the period of time a trustee can serve by establishing a retirement or tenure policy
- Against requiring minimum stock ownership by trustees
- Against providing for union or employee representatives on the board of trustees
- For increasing disclosure regarding the board's role in the development and monitoring of the company's long-term strategic plan
- For creating a nominating committee of the board
- Against urging the creation of a shareholder committee
- Against asking that the chairman of the board of trustees be chosen from among the ranks of the non-employee trustees
- Against asking that a lead trustee be chosen from among the ranks of the non-employee trustees
- For adopting cumulative voting
- Against requiring trustees to place a statement of candidacy in the proxy statement
- Against requiring the nomination of two trustee candidates for each open board seat
- Against making trustees liable for acts or omissions that constitute a breach of fiduciary care resulting from a trustee's gross negligence and/or reckless or willful neglect
- For repealing a classified board
- Against asking the board to redeem or to allow shareholders to vote on a poison pill shareholder rights plan

- Against repealing fair price provisions
- For restoring shareholders' right to call a special meeting
- For restoring shareholders' right to act by written consent
- For limiting the board's discretion to issue targeted share placements or requiring shareholder approval before such block placements can be made
 - For seeking to force the company to opt out of a state takeover statutory provision
 - Against reincorporating the company in another state
 - For limiting greenmail payments
 - Against advisory vote on compensation
 - Against restricting executive compensation
 - For enhancing the disclosure of executive compensation
 - Against restricting trustee compensation
 - Against capping executive pay
 - Against calling for trustees to be paid with company stock
 - Against calling for shareholder votes on executive pay
 - Against calling for the termination of trustee retirement plans
 - Against asking management to review, report on, and/or link executive compensation to non-financial criteria, particularly social criteria
 - Against seeking shareholder approval to reprice or replace underwater stock options
 - For banning or calling for a shareholder vote on future golden parachutes
 - Against seeking to award performance-based stock options
 - Against establishing a policy of expensing the costs of all future stock options issued by the company in the company's annual income statement
 - Against requesting that future executive compensation be determined without regard to any pension fund income
 - Against approving extra benefits under Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans (SERPs)
 - Against requiring option shares to be held
 - For creating a compensation committee
 - Against requiring that the compensation committee hire its own independent compensation consultants-separate from the compensation consultants working with corporate management-to assist with executive compensation issues
 - For increasing the independence of the compensation committee
 - For increasing the independence of the audit committee
 - For increasing the independence of key committees

Social Issue Proposals

- Against asking the company to develop or report on human rights policies
- Against asking the company to limit or end operations in Burma
- For asking management to review operations in Burma
- For asking management to certify that company operations are free of forced labor
- Against asking management to implement and/or increase activity on each of the principles of the U.S. Business Principles for Human Rights of Workers in China.
 - Against asking management to develop social, economic, and ethical criteria that the company could use to determine the acceptability of military contracts and to govern the execution of the contracts
 - Against asking management to create a plan of converting the company's facilities that are dependent on defense contracts toward production for commercial markets
 - Against asking management to report on the company's government contracts for the development of ballistic missile defense technologies and related space systems
 - Against asking management to report on the company's foreign military sales or foreign offset activities
 - Against asking management to limit or end nuclear weapons production

- Against asking management to review nuclear weapons production
- Against asking the company to establish shareholder-designated contribution programs
- Against asking the company to limit or end charitable giving
- For asking the company to increase disclosure of political spending and activities
- Against asking the company to limit or end political spending
- For requesting disclosure of company executives' prior government service
- Against requesting affirmation of political nonpartisanship
- For asking management to report on or change tobacco product marketing practices, except against if the proposal calls for action beyond reporting
- Against severing links with the tobacco industry
- Against asking the company to review or reduce tobacco harm to health
- For asking management to review or promote animal welfare, except against if the proposal calls for action beyond reporting
- For asking the company to report or take action on pharmaceutical drug pricing or distribution, except against if the proposal asks for more than a report
- Against asking the company to take action on embryo or fetal destruction
- For asking the company to review or report on nuclear facilities or nuclear waste, except against if the proposal asks for cessation of nuclear-related activities or other action beyond reporting
- For asking the company to review its reliance on nuclear and fossil fuels, its development or use of solar and wind power, or its energy efficiency, except vote against if the proposal asks for more than a report.
- Against asking management to endorse the Ceres principles
- For asking the company to control generation of pollutants, except against if the proposal asks for action beyond reporting or if the company reports its omissions and plans to limit their future growth or if the company reports its omissions and plans to reduce them from established levels
- For asking the company to report on its environmental impact or plans, except against if management has issued a written statement beyond the legal minimum
- For asking management to report or take action on climate change, except against if management acknowledges a global warming threat and has issued company policy or if management has issued a statement and committed to targets and timetables or if the company is not a major emitter of greenhouse gases
- For asking management to report on, label, or restrict sales of bioengineered products, except against if the proposal asks for action beyond reporting or calls for a moratorium on sales of bioengineered products
- Against asking the company to preserve natural habitat
- Against asking the company to review its developing country debt and lending criteria and to report to shareholders on its findings
- Against requesting the company to assess the environmental, public health, human rights, labor rights, or other socioeconomic impacts of its credit decisions
- For requesting reports and/or reviews of plans and/or policies on fair lending practices, except against if the proposal calls for action beyond reporting
- Against asking the company to establish committees to consider issues related to facilities closure and relocation of work
- For asking management to report on the company's affirmative action policies and programs, including releasing its EEO-1 forms and providing statistical data on specific positions within the company, except against if the company releases its EEO-1 reports
- Against asking management to drop sexual orientation from EEO policy
- Against asking management to adopt a sexual orientation non-discrimination policy
- For asking management to report on or review Mexican operations
- Against asking management to adopt standards for Mexican operations
- Against asking management to review or implement the MacBride principles

- Against asking the company to encourage its contractors and franchisees to implement the MacBride principles
- For asking management to report on or review its global labor practices or those of its contractors, except against if the company already reports publicly using a recognized standard or if the resolution asks for more than a report
- Against asking management to adopt, implement, or enforce a global workplace code of conduct based on the International Labor Organization's core labor conventions
- For requesting reports on sustainability, except against if the company has already issued a report in GRI format

History of Amendments:

Updated and effective as of May 2022

Approved by the Boards of DFT, DET and Closed-End Funds: May 19, 2022

Updated and effective as of February 15, 2022

Approved by the Boards of DFT, DET, DSL, DBL and DLY: February 15, 2022

Updated and effective as of January 2022

Effective as of January 2021

Approved by the boards of DFT, DSL, DBL and DLY: December 15, 2020

Last reviewed December 2020

Updated and effective as of February 2020

Approved by the boards of DFT, DSL, DBL and DLY: November 21, 2019

Last reviewed November 2019

Reviewed and approved by the Boards of the DoubleLine Funds Trust, DoubleLine Equity Funds, DoubleLine Opportunistic Credit Fund and DoubleLine Income Solutions Fund: August 20, 2015

Adopted by the DoubleLine Equity Funds Board of Trustees: March 19, 2013

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Equity Funds Board: May 22, 2013

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Equity Funds Board: November 20, 2013

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Equity Funds Board: August 21, 2014

Adopted by the DoubleLine Income Solutions Board of Trustees: March 19, 2013

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Income Solutions Board of Trustees: May 22, 2013
Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Income Solutions Board of Trustees: November 20, 2013

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Income Solutions Board of Trustees: August 21, 2014

Adopted by the DoubleLine Opportunistic Credit Fund Board of Trustees: August 24, 2011

Renewed and approved by the DoubleLine Opportunistic Credit Fund Board of Trustees: March 19, 2013

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Opportunistic Credit Fund Board of Trustees: May 22, 2013

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Opportunistic Credit Fund Board of Trustees: November 20, 2013

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Opportunistic Credit Fund Board of Trustees: August 21, 2014

Adopted by the DoubleLine Funds Trust Board: March 25, 2010

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Funds Trust Board: March 1, 2011

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Funds Trust Board: August 25, 2011

Renewed and approved by the DoubleLine Funds Trust Board of Trustees: March 19, 2013

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Funds Trust Board: May 22, 2013

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Funds Trust Board: November 20, 2013

Renewed, reviewed and approved by the DoubleLine Funds Trust Board: August 21, 2014